

Houston SWP
3311 Montrose
Houston, Texas 77006

2/12/75

SWP NO
14 Charles Lane
New York City, N.Y. 10014

Dear Comrades:

Enclosed is a statement by Betsey Farley and a copy of a letter David Rossi of the ITP delivered to Jim, the YSA organizer, and me at the hall. I mentioned this to Bev on the phone the other day.


After the incident mentioned in Betsey's statement, Bill Rayson, the YSA campus fraction head, talked to Fred Brodie who was sitting behind an "Impreccor" table at the University of Houston. Brodie confirmed that the ITP was trying to get the YSA thrown off campus.

A few days later, Jim received a call from Danny Laird of the ITP. Laird said essentially the same thing that appears in the letter. We received the letter a week after the phone call.

We reported on these incidents at both the branch and YSA meetings, and indicated we took this threat seriously and would scandalize the ITP if they went ahead with it. We also pointed out that in spite of their decision to back off, the incident indicates a political evolution - de-volution - at least on the part of Peterson and Brodie.

These reports were made after the phone call, but prior to receiving the letter. It is conceivable that our view of the seriousness of this incident was communicated to the ITP by Debby Leonard who heard the report at the branch meeting. She openly associates with them quite a bit. But I am just speculating; there is no other evidence of this.

Comradely,


Stu Singer

On Thurs., Jan. 30, I was present when Bill Peterson, a member of the Internationalist Tendency, inquired of the secretary to the University of Houston student government president how he would go about filing charges against a student organization. I asked him who he wanted to file charges against, and what for. He said he was filing charges against the Young Socialist Alliance to have their campus charter revoked because the YSA had "expelled" him and doesn't allow him to attend membership meetings. I asked him why he thought the policy board would be able to kick the YSA off campus, and didn't the YSA have the right to decide who its members were? He said that according to the rules for campus organizations, all groups and all meetings must be open to anyone. I asked him why he had chosen the YSA, rather than the BSU or MAYO to try to get thrown off campus since he couldn't join either of those organizations because he is white. He answered that he didn't have anything else to do and he thought he would have some fun today.

To my knowledge, he has not filed any charges yet, and I don't know what he or other members of the I.T.P. have planned.

Betsy Farley
1/31/75

February 8, 1975

Jim White
Organizer
Houston YSA

Dear Comrade White;

We wish to make known our feelings concerning a recent incident which involved an irresponsible action on the part of two of our comrades.

It has come to our attention that conversations took place recently between two members of our faction and comrades of the local YSA majority, in the course of which these same comrades of the IT made comments to the effect that they felt justified in challenging the YSA's right to its campus charter because of the YSA majority's previous actions against the IT. We wish to state categorically that these statements represent neither the feelings of the comrades involved, nor those of the IT as a whole; a fact which was relayed to you informally by one of our members on Sunday, Feb. 2. We wish to assure you that these threats were entirely verbal and that no such action has been, or will be taken by the IT or any individual member of the IT.

The position of the IT in regard to its relationship with the YSA and the SWP majority is that we consider the IT to be an unjustly expelled tendency of the YSA and SWP. Our primary concern and perspective is to fight through all existing channels nationally and internationally to bring about our full, collective reintegration into the SWP and YSA.

The comments of these comrades can only be explained within the context of the extremely dangerous situation, principally the threat to unity of the Fourth International (from which the YSA and SWP are barred by reactionary legislation) which has been exacerbated by the continuing factional actions of the YSA majority toward the IT.

We sincerely regret any disruption that the unserious comments referred to above may have caused the work of the YSA. Furthermore, should there arise in the future any threat to the YSA charter on campus, we pledge our unconditional defense of the YSA's right to function as a legitimate campus organization.

Comradely,

Houston IT Steering committee
Houston IT

cc: File
Stu Singer
PC IT
de.

COPY

COPY

COPY

for the PC

Brussels, March 19. 1975

John Barzman

Internationalist Tendency
of the Socialist Workers Party

Political Committee

Dear Comrades,

Attached to this letter you will find a letter from the IMT Enlarged Bureau which met on April 18 in Brussels, addressed to the IT Political Committee.

This letter expresses the unanimous opinion of the IMT Enlarged Bureau, after a discussion it held on the items that are on the agenda of the IT conference of April 5-6.

You can, of course, use it for the pre-conference discussion as you want and publish it in the IT Bulletin if you want.

A member of the IMT Bureau will come for your conference; and you will receive informations about his arrival very soon.

Comradely,

Aubin
for the IMT Bureau.

cc: SWP National Office, United Secretariat.

March 19, 1975

TO: Political Committee of the Internationalist Tendency

FROM: Enlarged Bureau of the IMT

Dear Comrades,

The IT Political Committee, after consultation with the members of the Steering Committee, has decided to cancel the Plenum previously scheduled for March 15 and 16 and to hold instead a delegated conference on April 5-6. The conference of the IT is meeting to draw a balance sheet of the latest session of the IEC, at which comrades of the leadership of the SWP and comrades of the leadership of the IT were present as fraternal observers (reactionary legislation prohibiting their formal membership in the IEC). The conference must also examine the perspectives that derive from this IEC session and must set an orientation for the whole IT in the coming period.

On the occasion of this discussion, the Bureau of the IMT wants to give you its opinion on these questions, although the IMT Bureau claims no decision-making power in the preparation or conduct of the conference.

A. Balance Sheet of the IEC

In accordance with the mandate of the IMT members of the IEC, who met to examine the results of the IEC plenum, the IMT Bureau made a statement (annexed to the minutes of the IEC meeting) in which it stressed the essentially positive character of the conclusions of the IEC session for the entire International.

1. Politically, the discussion of the recession and its political and social implications in the advanced capitalist countries showed that the differences that exist between the IMT and the IEC minority faction are not based on a different analysis of the recession. On the contrary, the unanimous adoption of a resolution on this point should permit the movement to confront new developments in the world situation from a common point of departure and therefore with lines of intervention that at least conform to the framework of the analysis drawn up by the entire International leadership, although they will of course differ according to national realities.

2. The adoption of a resolution on the political situation in Argentina and the tasks of Argentine Trotskyist militants presented by the IMT in counterposition to the minority faction's support for the orientation expressed in the statement of the Executive Committee of the PST raises the political fight to a new level. The positions expressed in the PST statement are now, at least formally, the positions of the minority faction, which endorsed them. The IMT is therefore justified in confronting all the components of the minority faction -- and thus also the leadership of the SWP, which is in political solidarity with that faction -- with the political implications for their own orientation of the conceptions upheld by the leadership of the PST.

In such a struggle, the leadership of the IMT aims at an important political objective: a differentiation and decrystallization within the components of the international minority that represent

national majorities, the actual transference of the PST's theses to the national practice of these groupings would run counter to their traditions.

3. This objective, among others, can be obtained only through the prior elimination of organizational obstacles that tend to impede the indispensable debate by providing so many pretexts for an interminable organizational polemic that is unproductive for the activity and education of the International.

That is why we consider the expanded participation of the minority faction in the United Secretariat and its presence in the Bureau of the USec as a step forward. That is also why the IMT accepted the presence in the USec of a member of the PST with the status of an observer without counted or recorded vote. The axis of these organizational measures -- the last-mentioned of which represents a concession that is necessary in helping to lower the level of tension now prevailing in the International -- is to bring the minority faction into the leadership of the world movement, including its day-to-day leadership, to the utmost of its capacities and potential by having the minority faction share the responsibilities and problems of leadership.

Any attitude that would aim at excluding a significant minority of the International from effective leadership positions -- apart from being in contradiction to the traditional principles of democratic centralism to which we adhere both in the conduct of the internal debate and in the direction of the activity of the International -- would only lead to results opposite to those the IMT is seeking: the construction of a united and strengthened International capable of politically and organizationally aiding the development of the sections. By once again raising a knot of internal tensions in the movement, such an attitude would only contribute to paralyzing the International with multiplied factional activities and would wind up by heaping discredit on the International both inside and outside the sections.

Increased political and material collaboration, on the other hand, should favor the correct conduct of the internal debate while sacrificing neither the work toward centralization nor the extension of the International's public impact, which is required by the intensification of the class struggle nearly everywhere.

4. Finally, the recognition of the comrades of the IT as fraternal observers of the International (in a motion that was adopted by the IEC unanimously) allows us to envisage a rapid positive solution to the grave crisis opened by the expulsion of the IT from the SWP. In the meantime, the motion entitles the IT comrades to enjoy all the rights reserved for members of the Fourth International (although it does not recognize the IT comrades as members of the Fourth International, as that is forbidden by the Voorhis Act).

Undoubtedly, the comrades of the IT are disappointed that the IEC did not definitively settle the question of their reintegration into the SWP. Certainly, that would have put an end once and for all to the already too long period of uncertainty inaugurated by the expulsion of the IT from the SWP on July 4, 1974.

The IMT has several times unambiguously expressed its position in favor of the collective reintegration of the IT into the SWP. It did this once again at the IEC by stating its agreement with the

report of Comrades Karl Anderson and Tantalus, supported by Comrade Hoffman. But given a choice between a majority vote for the position of the IMT, which in any case would not have been binding on the minority faction, and a unanimous vote for the recommendations of the International Control Commission and a common motion of the IEC as a whole, the IMT preferred to choose the latter solution, because it was the only one that can now aid the reintegration of the IT into the SWP on the basis of the unanimous recommendations of the ICC and the positions taken by two members of the SWP Political Committee who committed themselves to push within their National Committee for the adoption of the recommendations of the ICC.

In any case, on the basis of the statutes of the International that was the only solution possible, because on questions of this type the IEC does not have executive power, but only the right to express an opinion and also because according to the SWP statutes only the NC can make such a decision.

Thus, the crisis has not yet been resolved on the national or international plane -- far from it. But the IEC at least permitted the ways and means of its resolution to be posed. The final outcome now depends on the International minority's will for effective collaboration, the attitude adopted by the international majority, the broad outlines of which we have indicated, and the behavior of the IT, which we must now examine in more detail.

B. Perspectives for the IT

1. Whatever disagreements we may have with its orientation, intervention, or internal functioning, the SWP is the only Trotskyist organization in the United States. The SWP has a long tradition and a national base and has played a role on a national scale that has carried it to the head of significant mass mobilizations which, after a period of stagnation, are now tending to emerge once again because of the crisis that is shaking the American imperialist system.

Thus, given the present state of affairs, there is no political or organizational argument justifying separation from the SWP, nationally or internationally. This fact must serve as the basis for a determined policy of the IT of struggling for its reintegration into the SWP, as you yourselves affirmed in the political resolution of your October plenum. Nothing essential has changed since that date; if anything, the perspective of reintegration is even more concrete than it has been at any time during the past eight months.

Obviously, the condition for the realization of this perspective is that the majority of the SWP effectively implement the terms of the IEC motion recommending collaboration between the SWP majority and the IT and that the comrades of the IT do the same, without losing themselves in unverifiable hypotheses about the "probability or improbability of reintegration," which can only serve as a pretext for avoiding dealing with the necessary priorities.

2. At this stage, we believe, the priorities of the IT must be centered precisely around the concrete proposals for collaboration in the general areas of intervention of the SWP. The present re-emergence of social struggles in the United States provides numerous opportunities for this collaboration, through which the comrades of the IT, in addition to fighting effectively for their reintegration, can make an effective contribution to the development and spread of

Trotskyist ideas among the mass movement and the vanguard.

Carrying out such a project in the two months remaining before the National Committee plenum of the SWP will contribute to demonstrating to the leadership and membership of the SWP and to the whole International that the IT genuinely respects the principles of democratic centralism on which it bases itself in justly demanding its reintegration, even though these principles require that the IT comrades act under the leadership of or in collaboration with militants who uphold conceptions with which the IT comrades differ, sometimes in important respects.

3. Carrying out such a project should prepare for the active and rapid reintegration of the IT comrades into the SWP. Moreover, this project will allow the IT comrades to develop their capacity to politically elaborate a revolutionary orientation for the United States on the basis of a real activity.

This work can be aided by an examination of the positions upheld by the IT in the past and by drafting synthetic documents on the major problems in the United States. It will thus raise the political level of the IT and consequently the quality of its contribution to the building of the revolutionary organization in the United States. An abstract political discussion divorced both from the necessary reference points provided by militant activity in the various sectors of intervention and from the development of the discussion that will be held within the SWP before its next convention would threaten to draw the IT toward overestimating the particularities of the tendency and toward the independent unfolding of a sectarian dynamic that would represent a retreat from the best political contributions the IT has made within the SWP, contributions that must now be systematized and clarified.

4. This process must lead to a swift reintegration of the IT into the SWP. The expulsion of the IT constituted a flagrant attack on the Leninist norms that are in force in our movement. For the IMT, the fight for the reintegration of the IT into the SWP is a principled fight that goes well beyond a simple organizational conflict. What is at stake in this struggle is the defense of democratic centralism, not only as a method of building the International and its sections, but also and above all as a fundamental acquisition of our program. From this standpoint, any solution involving the individual reintegration of the members of the IT is unacceptable to the IMT.

Moreover, an individual reintegration would lead in the direction of recognizing the right of the SWP leadership to choose among the IT those members who fulfill some particular conditions. The members of the IT were expelled from the SWP because of their adherence to the IT and not because of this or that individual violation of discipline. Thus, the comrades of the IT must be reintegrated collectively into their party.

Nevertheless, just as any conditions posed by the leadership of the SWP to the reintegration would be unacceptable, any conditions for their reintegration posed by the IT would be equally unacceptable to the SWP and to the whole International. The statutes and decisions of the national leadership bodies must be respected by all members of a Trotskyist organization, whatever disagreements they may have with the documents or orientations upheld by the national leadership.

The IT cannot claim a privileged status. In demanding its reintegration into the SWP, the IT at the same time commits itself to respect the discipline of the SWP such as it is described in the statutes and in the organizational resolution of 1965 and not to impede the activity determined by the elected leadership bodies of the SWP. The IT commits itself, as it has already done in several documents and statements, to contribute to the building of the SWP to the best of its ability -- without renouncing its own political positions, which it will raise at the appropriate times within the framework of the internal debate within the SWP.

5. All these points relate to a strategy of the long-term construction of a tendency within the SWP. They are in no way dictated by conjunctural exigencies. Any activity of the IMT in the United States must rest on these bases. This implies, among other things, that these considerations will not disappear after the plenum of the SWP.

If, as we hope, the SWP NC decides on reintegration, the comrades of the IT, while continuing to defend the positions of the IMT against those of the minority faction, will again take their places within the SWP. They will then have to decide how to participate in the internal debate of the SWP, which does not necessarily imply the immediate reformation of a faction based on a national platform; that must be determined on the basis of various criteria, not the least of which is the character of the political theses presented by the leadership to the next convention of the SWP.

If the reintegration is refused by the SWP, the IMT could not but support a possible expansion of public activities by the IT, activities aimed at preserving the very existence of a group of comrades in political solidarity with the International. But there again, the political basis of the activity of the IT would scarcely be modified at all in the final analysis. The IT would then have to continue to wage a principled battle for reintegration and would have to draw the conclusions that flow from this for its intervention, which would be likely oriented toward maximum collaboration with the SWP majority in order to reunite the two factions of American Trotskyism in the same organization as quickly as possible

6. The IT is in fraternal solidarity with the Fourth International with its majority tendency. In solidarity with the IMT it upholds the essential bases of the international platform of the IMT in the international debate.

But the IT is also a national tendency that aims at reintegration into the SWP, within which it has already defended its own positions on questions of political orientation in the United States. The IT cannot continue to exist unless its members are armed with clear conceptions in both these areas. Particularly as concerns the definition of strategy and tactics for the United States it seems to us that the tendency must once again clarify these questions on the occasion of its conference. That would permit the squelching of the interpretations the SWP leadership has read in to some of your documents and would provide the whole of the IT with a solid basis for work in the future.

We repeat: The existence of the IT is difficult to compare with the situation of other groups of comrades of the IMT in the

International. Adherence to the IT today must rest on agreement with the essential documents of the IMT, but also on agreement with the essential political and organizational points of a national orientation along the lines of the one we have tried to sketch out. In the absence of such agreement, political and organizational heterogeneity will complete the work of disintegration that the SWP leadership has attempted to effect.

The confirmation of such a line by the comrades who share it thus seems to us vital in the present stage, on the eve of a new turn in the objective situation of the IT, in order to assure the tendency of the best chances for success.

We hope that the few points we have raised in this letter will aid you in your discussion and contribute to the positive development of the work of your conference, which we consider very important and which we hope will be fruitful.

Fraternally,

Enlarged Bureau of the IMT

4660 Maryland, #12
St. Louis, Mo. 63108

April 18, 1975

Barry Sheppard
National Office
New York, N.Y.

Dear Barry,

This is to inform you of a meeting I had with a representative of the Internationalist Tendency Party. Pete Kirchner, representing himself as the coordinator of the IT here asked to set up a meeting with me to discuss joint activity in light of the January I.E.C. resolution on reintegration. I met with him on Friday, April 11.

He made a number of proposals: that members of the IT sell the Militant; that they work on SWP election campaigns; that the IT and the SWP collaborate on Boston, J.B. Johnson Defense, and Vietnam solidarity work. He also proposed that this could best be done through joint fractions.

On sales of the Militant, I told him that this would be a good week to begin regular sales as we had just received a bundle of 1000 for the target week sale. Kirchner indicated that he would urge IT members to sell on Saturday, the day SWP'ers mobilize for sales in the St. Louis branch in order to get a jump on the bundle for the week. None showed up for Saturday sales. One IT'er sold 10 Militants -- their total sales for the week.

On the campaign, I said the branch would welcome help in publicizing and building the Camejo-Reid campaign. Kirchner said that the IT in St. Louis felt they had "missed the boat" on Barbara Bowman's campaign for President of the St. Louis Board of Aldermen. The election was April 1. He said there were "many problems, or we would have helped out."

On joint fractions, I explained that I felt at this time there was no basis for working in joint fractions.

I informed Kirchner of the new Party Building Fund that was just launched to take advantage of the tax rebates and that the branch here was approaching sympathizers and supporters as well as members of the SWP for pledges of all or part of their rebates.

According to Kirchner, their major activity over the last months has been a Marxism class. (brochure enclosed) He said that 8 people usually attended the classes including all of their members (probably

2/


4), 2 members of the Class Struggle League, and one or two students from Washington University.

Three IT'ers attended our April 11 forum on Vietnam -- the first public activity of the party any IT'er attended in 4 or 5 months. One of their members has been active for 6 or 7 months in the J.B. Johnson Defense Committee. Another in the Student Committee Against Racism in Bowton.

There is one IT member in Kansas Ctiy, Mo.

Kirchner told me that there were about 50 people at the IT conference in Chicago over the first weekend in April.

Comradely,



Barb Mutnick
Organizer

SOCIALISM FOR THE '70s

A MARXIST EDUCATION

- 1 Socialism as a Science
- 2 The Capitalist State
- 3 Capitalist Democracy & The Class War
- 4 Imperialism
- 5 The Continuity of Marxism & Leninism
- 6 The Class War in Europe
- 7 The American Class War

books:

Basic reading: Socialism utopian and Scientific. (Engels)

Recommended: The Communist Manifesto. (Marx/Engels)
Marxism and Revisionism. (Lenin)

Basic reading: The Marxist Theory of the State. (Mandel)

Recommended: The State and Revolution. (Lenin)

Substitute: Origin of Family, Private Property & the State. (Engels)
Ten Days That Shook the World. (Reed)

Basic Reading: Proletarian Revolution and the Renegade Kautsky.

Recommended: Reform and Revolution. (Luxemburg)
Terrorism and Communism. (Trotsky)

Basic Reading: Introduction to Marxist Economic Theory. (Mandel)

Imperialism the Highest Stage of Capitalism. (Lenin)

Recommended: Permanent Revolution. (Trotsky)

Problems of the Chinese Revolution. (Trotsky)

Critical Remarks on Nationalism and Self-determination.
(Lenin)

Basic Reading: Lenin's Last Testament. (Lenin/Trotsky)

Transitional Program. (Trotsky)

Recommended: Lenin's Last Struggle. (Lenin)

Revolution Betrayed. (Trotsky)

Toward a History of the Fourth International. (Frank)

Basic Reading: Building Revolutionary Parties in Capitalist Europe.

Basic Reading: Building of Revolutionary Parties in Capitalist
America.

----- tear off & mail -----

return address

place
stamp
here

SOCIALISM FOR THE '70s

4512 WESTMINSTER #2E

ST. LOUIS, MO., 63108

Study of classics of Marxism-Leninism on utopian-reformist vs. scientific socialism, The State, Economics, Imperialism, etc. and problems of application today. Inexpensive pamphlets optional. Possibility of forming ongoing circle.


Classes Begin at 7:30 p.m.

October 13th

4512 Westminster #2E

Phone: 361-1117

Socialism For The '70s



CONFIDENTIAL
Patent # 3,111,111

APR 29 1975

APR 28 1975

Jeanne Shaffer
5104 Plum
Houston, Texas 77017

THE MILITANT
Business Office
14 Charles Lane
New York, N.Y. 10014

Dear Friend,

This letter is to inform you of our desire to begin receiving a regular bundle of thirty copies of the MILITANT. If you feel that it will be necessary for us to pay for the bundles in advance please inform of this and we will be willing to comply. If this will not be necessary, however, we would appreciate it if you could begin sending the bundles immediately along with the necessary information regarding payment. All bundles should be mailed to the above address.

Fraternally,

Danny Laird

Danny Laird for the Houston Internationalist Tendency

John Barzman
Coordinating Committee
Internationalist Tendency (new faction)
1450 W. Belle Plaine
Chgo IL 60613

May 4, 1975

Attn: Andrea Morell
Socialist Workers 1976 National Campaign Committee
14 Charles Lane, New York, N.Y. 10014

Dear Andrea,

Thank you for your letter of March 29, 1975. The clarifications you made regarding the status of financial contributions are very welcome. Individual members of the IT have and will continue to make individual contributions to the campaign at different fund-raising events, and through other means. The use you made of the enclosure of \$40.00 in our last letter, i.e. applying it to The Militant's reprint, is quite welcome.

Our coordinating committee here will not be ordering material for the whole IT. We wish to avoid as much as possible the duplication of functions already performed by the SWP or by committees in which the SWP is active, and to avoid investing efforts into creating an apparatus to could implement these functions. Therefore, we are recommending to all ITers that the contact the local SWP branches, YSA locals, and campaign committees so that they may participate in their activities and obtain the necessary material from them. If additional materials are needed, they will get in touch with you directly, rather than going through this address.

I am sending a copy of this letter to Comrade Barry Sheppard.

Comradely,

John Barzman

